

# **On the Norm of Elementary Operator**

### Denis Njue Kingangi<sup>1</sup>, John Ogoji Agure<sup>2</sup>, Fredrick Oluoch Nyamwala<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Eldoret, Eldoret, Kenya <sup>2</sup>Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Maseno University, Maseno, Kenya <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya Email: <u>dankingangi2003@yahoo.com</u>, johnagure@yahoo.com, foluoch2000@yahoo.com

Received 20 April 2014; revised 20 May 2014; accepted 3 June 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

## Abstract

The norm of an elementary operator has been studied by many mathematicians. Varied results have been established especially on the lower bound of this norm. Here, we attempt the same problem for finite dimensional operators.

# **Keywords**

**Bounded Linear Operator, Elementary Operator** 

# **1. Introduction**

Let *H* be a complex Hilbert space and B(H) be the set of bounded operators on *H*. A basic elementary operator,  $M_{T.S}: B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ , is defined as:

$$M_{T,S}(W) = TWS ,$$

for  $W \in B(H)$  and T, S fixed.

An elementary operator,  $E_n : B(H) \to B(H)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a finite sum of the basic elementary operators, defined as,  $E_n(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{T_i,S_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i WS_i$ , for all  $W \in B(H)$ , where  $T_i, S_i \in B(H)$  are fixed, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . When n = 2, we have  $E_2(W) = T_1 WS_1 + T_2 WS_2$ , for all  $W \in B(H)$  and  $T_i, S_i \in B(H)$  fixed, for i = 1, 2. Given the elementary operator  $E_n$  on B(H), the question on whether the equation

 $||E_n|| = \sum_{i=1}^n ||T_i|| ||S_i||$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , holds remains an area of interest to many mathematicians. This paper attempts to an-

swer this question for finite dimensional operators.

For a complex Hilbert space H, with dual  $H^*$ , we define a finite rank operator  $(u \otimes x): H \to H$  by,  $(u \otimes x) y = u(y)x$  for all  $y \in H$ , where  $u \in H^*$ , and  $x \in H$  is a unit vector, with:

$$u \otimes x \| = \sup \{ \| (u \otimes x) y \| : y \in H, \| y \| \le 1 \} = \sup \{ \| u (y) x \| : y \in H, \| y \| \le 1 \}$$
$$= \sup \{ | u (y) \| \| x \| : y \in H, \| y \| \le 1 \} = \sup \{ | u (y) | : y \in H, \| y \| \le 1 \} = | u (y) |$$

In this paper, we use finite rank operators to determine the norm of  $E_2$ . We first review some known results on the norm of the Jordan elementary operator  $U_{T,S}: B(H) \to B(H)$ ,  $W \mapsto TWS + SWT$ , for all  $W \in B(H)$ with  $T, S \in B(H)$  fixed. We will then proceed to show that for an operator  $W \in B(H)$  with ||W|| = 1 and W(x) = x for all unit vectors  $x \in H$ , then:

$$||E_2|| = \sum_{i=1}^2 ||T_i|| ||S_i||.$$

Some mathematicians have attempted to determine the norm of  $E_n$ . Timoney, used (matrix) numerical ranges and the tracial geometric mean to obtain an approximation of  $E_n$  [1], while Nyamwala and Agure used the spectral resolution theorem to calculate the norm of  $E_n$  induced by normal operators in a finite dimensional Hilbert space [2].

The study of the norm of the Jordan elementary operator has also attracted many researchers in operator theory. Mathieu [3], in 1990, proved that in the case of a prime C\*-algebra, the lower bound of the norm of  $U_{T,S}$  can be estimated by  $||U_{T,S}|| \ge \frac{2}{3} ||T|| ||S||$ . In 1994, Cabrera and Rodriguez [4], showed that

$$||U_{T,S}|| \ge \frac{1}{20412} ||T|| ||S||$$
, for prime JB\*-algebras.

On their part, Stacho and Zalar [5], in 1996 worked on the standard operator algebra which is a sub-algebra of B(H), that contains all finite rank operators. They first showed that the operator  $U_{T,S}$  actually represents a Jordan triple structure of a C\*-algebra. They also showed that if A is a standard operator algebra acting on a Hilbert space H, and  $T, S \in A$ , then  $\|U_{T,S}\| \ge 2(\sqrt{2}-1)\|T\|\|S\|$ . They later (1998), proved that  $\|U_{T,S}\| \ge \|T\|\|S\|$  for the algebra of symmetric operators acting on a Hilbert space. They attached a family of Hil-

bert spaces to standard operator algebra, using the inner products on them to obtain their results.

In 2001, Barraa and Boumazguor [6], used the concept of the maximal numerical range and finite rank operators to show that if  $T, S \in B(H)$  with  $S \neq 0$ , then:

$$\left\|U_{T,S}\right\| \geq \sup_{\lambda \in W_{S}\left(T^{*}S\right)} \left\{ \left\| \left\|S\right\|T + \frac{\overline{\lambda}}{\left\|S\right\|}S\right\| \right\},\$$

where,

$$W_{S}\left(T^{*}S\right) = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \exists x_{n} \in H, ||x_{n}|| = 1, \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle T^{*}Sx_{n}, x_{n} \right\rangle = \lambda, \lim_{n \to \infty} ||Sx_{n}|| = ||S|| \right\},\$$

is the maximal numerical range of  $T^*S$  relative to S, and  $T^*$  is the Hilbert adjoint of T.

Okelo and Agure [7] used the finite rank operators to determine the norm of the basic elementary operator. Their work forms the basis of the results in this paper.

#### 2. The Norm of Elementary Operator

In this section, we present some of the known results on elementary operators and proceed to determine norm of the elementary operator  $E_2$ .

In the following theorem Okelo and Agure [7], determined the norm of the basic elementary operator.

**Theorem 2.1** [5]: Let H be a complex Hilbert space and B(H) the algebra of bounded linear operators on H. Let  $M_{T,S}: B(H) \to B(H)$  be defined by  $M_{T,S}(W) = TWS$  for all  $W \in B(H)$  with T, S as fixed elements in B(H). If for all  $W \in B(H)$  with ||W|| = 1, we have W(x) = x for all unit vectors  $x \in H$ , then;

$$\|\boldsymbol{M}_{T,S}\| = \|\boldsymbol{T}\| \|\boldsymbol{S}\|$$

**Proof:** Since  $\|M_{T,S} | B(H) \| = \sup \{ \|M_{T,S} (W) \| : W \in B(H), \|W\| = 1 \}$ , we have,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ;  $\|M_{T,S} | B(H) \| - \varepsilon < \|M_{T,S} (W) \|$ ,  $\forall W \in B(H), \|W\| = 1$ 

Therefore:

$$\left|M_{T,S}\left|B(H)\right|-\varepsilon < \left|TWS\right| \le \left|T\right| \left|\left|S\right|\right|.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain:

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{M}_{T,S}\left|\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{H})\right\| \le \left\|\boldsymbol{T}\right\| \left\|\boldsymbol{S}\right\|.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\left\|M_{T,S}\left|B(H)\right\| \geq \left\|M_{T,S}(W)\right\|, \ \forall W \in B(H), \ \left\|W\right\| = 1,$$

with:

$$\left|M_{T,S}\left(W\right)\right| = \sup\left\{\left\|\left(M_{T,S}\left(W\right)\right)x\right\| : \forall x \in H, \|x\| = 1\right\}.$$

So, setting  $T = u \otimes x_1$ ,  $\forall x_1 \in H$ ,  $||x_1|| = 1$ , and  $S = v \otimes x_2$ ,  $\forall x_2 \in H$ ,  $||x_2|| = 1$ , we have:  $||M_{T,S}|B(H)|| \ge ||M_{T,S}(W)||$ ,  $\forall W \in B(H)$ , ||W|| = 1, with T, S fixed in B(H).

$$= \|((u \otimes x_1)W(v \otimes x_2))y\| = \|(u \otimes x_1)W(v(y)x_2)\|$$
  
$$= \|(u \otimes x_1)v(y)W(x_2)\| = |v(y)|\|(u \otimes x_1)W(x_2)\|$$
  
$$= |v(y)|\|u(W(x_2))x_1\| = |v(y)|\|u(W(x_2))|\|x_1\| = \|T\|\|S\|,$$

obtaining;

$$\left\| M_{T,S} \left| B(H) \right\| \ge \left\| T \right\| \left\| S \right\|.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Hence, from (1) and (2), we obtain

$$M_{T,S} \left| B(H) \right\| = \left\| T \right\| \left\| S \right\|.$$

For any vectors  $y, z \in H$ , the rank one operator,  $y \otimes z \in B(H)$ , is defined by  $(y \otimes z)x = \langle x, z \rangle y$ , for all  $x \in H$ .

In the following three results Baraa and Boumazgour give three estimations to the lower bound of the norm of the Jordan elementary operator. See [6]. Recall that the Jordan elementary operator is the operator

 $U_{T,S}: B(H) \to B(H), W \mapsto TWS + SWT$ , for all  $W \in B(H)$  with  $T, S \in B(H)$  fixed.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $U_{T,S}$  be the Jordan elementary operator with  $T, S \in B(H)$  fixed, and with  $S \neq 0$ . Then

$$\left\|U_{T,S}\right\| \geq \sup_{\lambda \in W_{S}\left(T^{*}S\right)} \left\{ \left\|\|S\|T + \frac{\overline{\lambda}}{\|S\|}S\right\| \right\},\$$

where,  $W_{s}(T^{*}S)$  is the maximal numerical range of  $T^{*}S$  relative to S, as defined earlier.

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda \in W_S(T^*S)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  of unit vectors in H such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle T^*Sx_n, x_n \rangle = \lambda$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||Sx_n|| = ||S||$ . Consider unit vectors  $y, z \in H$ , and recall the rank one operator,  $y \otimes z \in B(H)$ , defined as  $(y \otimes z)x = \langle x, z \rangle y$ , for all unit vectors  $x \in H$ . For fixed operators  $T, S \in B(H)$ , we have;

$$\left\| \left( U_{T,S} \left( y \otimes Sx_n \right) \right) x_n \right\| \le \left\| U_{T,S} \left( y \otimes Sx_n \right) \right\| \le \left\| U_{T,S} \right\| \left\| y \right\| \left\| Sx_n \right\| \le \left\| U_{T,S} \right\| \left\| S \right\|$$

That is  $||U_{T,S}|| ||S|| \ge ||(U_{T,S}(y \otimes Sx_n))x_n||$ . Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| U_{T,S} \right| \left\| S \right\| &\geq \left\| \left( U_{T,S} \left( y \otimes Sx_n \right) \right) x_n \right\| = \left\| \left( T \left( y \otimes Sx_n \right) S + S \left( y \otimes Sx_n \right) T \right) x_n \right\| \\ &= \left\| T \left( y \otimes Sx_n \right) Sx_n + S \left( y \otimes Sx_n \right) Tx_n \right\| = \left\| T \left\langle Sx_n, Sx_n \right\rangle y + S \left\langle Tx_n, Sx_n \right\rangle y \right| \\ &= \left\| \left\| Sx_n \right\|^2 Ty + \left\langle x_n, T^* Sx_n \right\rangle Sy \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\| U_{T,S} \right\| \ge \frac{1}{\left\| S \right\|} \left\| \left\| Sx_n \right\|^2 Ty + \left\langle x_n, T^* Sx_n \right\rangle Sy \right\|.$$
(3)

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain:

$$\left\| U_{T,S} \right\| \geq \left\| \left\| S \right\| Ty + \frac{\overline{\lambda}}{\left\| S \right\|} Sy \right\|,$$

and this is true for any  $\lambda \in W_s(T^*S)$ , and for any unit vector  $y \in H$ . Now, consider the set  $\left\{ \left\| \|S\| Ty + \frac{\overline{\lambda}}{\|S\|} Sy \right\| : \lambda \in W_S(T^*S), y \in H, \|y\| = 1 \right\}.$ 

We have:

$$\left\|U_{T,S}\right\| \ge \sup\left\{\left\|\left\|S\right\|Ty + \frac{\overline{\lambda}}{\left\|S\right\|}Sy\right\| : \lambda \in W_{S}\left(T^{*}S\right), y \in H, \left\|y\right\| = 1\right\}$$

But 
$$\sup\left\{\left\|\|S\|Ty + \frac{\overline{\lambda}}{\|S\|}Sy\right\| : \lambda \in W_{S}\left(T^{*}S\right), y \in H, \|y\| = 1\right\} = \sup\left\{\left\|\|S\|T + \frac{\overline{\lambda}}{\|S\|}S\right\| : \lambda \in W_{S}\left(T^{*}S\right)\right\}.$$
  
Therefore:

Therefore:

$$\left\| U_{T,S} \right\| \ge \sup \left\{ \left\| \left\| S \right\| T + \frac{\overline{\lambda}}{\left\| S \right\|} S \right\| : \lambda \in W_{S} \left( T^{*}S \right) \right\},$$

and this completes the proof.

Corollary 2.3: Let H be a complex Hilbert space and T, S be bounded linear operators on H. Let  $0 \in W_{S}(T^{*}S) \cup W_{T}(S^{*}T)$ . Then we have  $||U_{T,S}|| \ge ||T|| ||S||$ .

**Proof:** Let  $0 \in W_S(T^*S) \cup W_T(S^*T)$ . Then,  $0 \in W_S(T^*S)$  or  $0 \in W_T(S^*T)$ , and therefore, either there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  of unit vectors in *H* such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle T^*Sx_n, x_n \rangle = 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||Sx_n|| = ||S||$  or, there is a sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  of unit vectors in H such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle S^*Ty_n, y_n \rangle = 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||Ty_n|| = ||T||$ .

Recall that in the previous theorem (Inequality (3)), we obtained:

$$\left\|U_{T,S}\right\| \ge \frac{1}{\left\|S\right\|} \left\|\left\|Sx_n\right\|^2 Ty + \left\langle x_n, T^*Sx_n\right\rangle Sy\right\|$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\left\| U_{T,S} \right\| \ge \frac{1}{\left\| S \right\|} \left\| \left\| Sy_n \right\|^2 Ty + \left\langle S^* Ty_n, y_n \right\rangle Sy \right\|,$$
(4)

considering the sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ ,

Taking limits in either (3) or (4), we obtain

$$\left\|U_{T,S}\right\| \ge \left\|\left\|S\right\|Ty\right\|$$

and this is true for any unit vector  $y \in H$ .

Now, consider the set  $\left\{ \left\| \left\| S \right\| Ty \right\| : y \in H, \left\| y \right\| = 1 \right\}$ .

We have:

$$||U_{T,S}|| \ge \sup\{|||S||Ty||: y \in H, ||y|| = 1\}.$$

But  $\sup \{ \| \|S\| Ty\| : y \in H, \|y\| = 1 \} = \|T\| \|S\|$ . Therefore:

$$\left\|U_{T,S}\right\| \geq \left\|T\right\| \left\|S\right\|,$$

and this completes the proof.

**Proposition 2.4:** Let H be a complex Hilbert space and T, S be bounded linear operators on H. If  $||T||||S|| \in W_T(S^*T) \cap W_{T^*}(ST^*)$  then:

$$||U_{T,S}|| = 2||T||||S||.$$

**Proof:** Suppose  $||T||||S|| \in W_T(S^*T) \cap W_{T^*}(ST^*)$ . Then  $||T||||S|| \in W_T(S^*T)$  and  $||T||||S|| \in W_{T^*}(ST^*)$ , and therefore we can find two sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  of unit vectors in H such that:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle S^* T x_n, x_n \right\rangle = \left\| T \right\| \left\| S \right\|, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| T x_n \right\| = \left\| T \right\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle S T^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle = \left\| T \right\| \left\| S \right\|, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| T^* y_n \right\| = \left\| T \right\|.$$
  
Since  $\left| \left\langle S^* T x_n, x_n \right\rangle \right| \le \left\| T x_n \right\| \left\| S x_n \right\| \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \left\langle S T^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle \right| \le \left\| T^* y_n \right\| \left\| S^* y_n \right\|, \text{ then} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| S x_n \right\| = \left\| S \right\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| S^* y_n \right\| = \left\| S \right\|.$ 

For each  $n \ge 1$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \left( U_{T,S} \left( x_n \otimes y_n \right) \right) S^* y_n \right\|^2 = \left\| \left( T \left( x_n \otimes y_n \right) S + S \left( x_n \otimes y_n \right) T \right) S^* y_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| T \left( x_n \otimes y_n \right) SS^* y_n + S \left( x_n \otimes y_n \right) TS^* y_n \right\|^2 = \left\| \left\langle SS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle Tx_n + \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle Sx_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \left\langle S^* y_n, S^* y_n \right\rangle Tx_n + \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle Sx_n \right\|^2 = \left\| \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^2 Tx_n + \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle Sx_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\langle \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^2 Tx_n + \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle Sx_n, \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^2 Tx_n + \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle Sx_n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\| \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^2 Tx_n \right\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle Sx_n, \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^2 Tx_n \right\rangle + \left| \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \left\| Sx_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^4 \left\| Tx_n \right\|^2 + \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^2 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle \left\langle Sx_n, Tx_n \right\rangle + \left| \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \left\| Sx_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^4 \left\| Tx_n \right\|^2 + \left\| S^* y_n \right\|^2 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle \left\langle T^* Sx_n, x_n \right\rangle + \left| \left\langle TS^* y_n, y_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \left\| Sx_n \right\|^2 \end{split}$$

Now, we have:

$$\| (U_{T,S} (x_n \otimes y_n)) S^* y_n \| \| \le \| U_{T,S} (x_n \otimes y_n) \| \| S^* y_n \|$$
  
 
$$\le \| U_{T,S} \| \| x_n \otimes y_n \| \| S^* y_n \| \le \| U_{T,S} \| \| S^* y_n \|.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| U_{T,S} \right\|^{2} \left\| S^{*} y_{n} \right\|^{2} &\geq \left\| S^{*} y_{n} \right\|^{4} \left\| T x_{n} \right\|^{2} + \left\| S^{*} y_{n} \right\|^{2} 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle T S^{*} y_{n}, y_{n} \right\rangle \left\langle T^{*} S x_{n}, x_{n} \right\rangle \\ &+ \left| \left\langle T S^{*} y_{n}, y_{n} \right\rangle \right|^{2} \left\| S x_{n} \right\|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain:

$$||U_{T,S}||^2 ||S||^2 \ge ||S||^4 ||T||^2 + 2||S||^4 ||T||^2 + ||S||^4 ||T||^2 = 4||S||^4 ||T||^2.$$

That is  $||U_{T,S}||^2 \ge 4||S||^2 ||T||^2$  and this implies that  $||U_{T,S}|| \ge 2||S|| ||T||$ . Clearly,  $||U_{T,S}|| \le 2||S|| ||T||$  and therefore we obtain  $||U_{T,S}|| = 2||S|| ||T||$ .

We recall that an elementary operator,  $E_n : B(H) \to B(H)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is defined as  $E_n(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i W S_i$ , for all  $W \in B(H)$  where  $T_i, S_i \in B(H)$  are fixed, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . When n = 2, we have  $E_2(W) = T_1 W S_1 + T_2 W S_2$ , for all  $W \in B(H)$  and  $T_i, S_i \in B(H)$  fixed, for i = 1, 2.

The following result gives the norm of  $E_2$ .

**Theorem 2.5:** Let H be a complex Hilbert space and B(H) be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H: Let  $E_2$  be the elementary operator on B(H) defined above. If for an operator  $W \in B(H)$  with ||W|| = 1, we have W(x) = x for all unit vectors  $x \in H$ , then:

$$||E_2|| = \sum_{i=1}^2 ||T_i|| ||S_i||$$

**Proof:** Recall that  $E_2: B(H) \to B(H)$  is defined as  $E_2(W) = T_1WS_1 + T_2WS_2$ , for all  $W \in B(H)$  and  $T_i, S_i \in B(H)$  fixed, for i = 1, 2.

We have:

$$||E_2|B(H)|| = \sup\{||E_2(W)||: W \in B(H), ||W|| = 1\}.$$

Therefore,  $||E_2|B(H)|| \ge ||E_2(W)||$  for all  $W \in B(H)$  with ||W|| = 1. So, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $||E_2|B(H)|| - \varepsilon < ||E_2(W)||$  for all  $W \in B(H)$  with ||W|| = 1. Therefore,  $||E_2|B(H)|| - \varepsilon < \sum_{i=1}^{2} ||T_i|| ||S_i||$ .

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain:

$$||E_2|B(H)|| \le \sum_{i=1}^2 ||T_i|| ||S_i||.$$
 (5)

Next, we show that  $||E_2|B(H)|| \ge \sum_{i=1}^2 ||T_i||| ||S_i||$ .

Since  $||E_2(W)|| = \sup\{||(E_2(W))x|| : x \in H, ||x|| = 1\}$ , then we have  $||E_2(W)|| \ge ||(E_2(W))x||$  for all  $x \in H, ||x|| = 1$ . But  $E_2(W)x = (T_1WS_1 + T_2WS_2)x$ .

Now, let  $u_i, v_i : H \to \mathbf{R}^+$  be functionals for i = 1, 2.

Choose unit vectors  $y, z \in H$  and define finite rank operators  $T_i = u_i \otimes y$  and  $S_i = v_i \otimes z$  on H, for i = 1, 2 by  $T_i x = (u_i \otimes y) x = u_i(x) y$  for all  $x \in H$  with ||x|| = 1, for i = 1, 2, and  $S_i x = (v_i \otimes z) x = v_i(x) z$ , for  $x \in H$  with ||x|| = 1, for i = 1, 2.

Observe that the norm of  $T_i$  for i = 1, 2 is,

$$||T_i|| = \sup\{||(u_i \otimes y)x|| : x \in H, ||x|| \le 1\}$$
  
=  $\sup\{||u_i(x)y|| : x \in H, ||x|| \le 1\}$   
=  $\sup\{|u_i(x)|||y|| : x \in H, ||x|| \le 1\}$   
=  $\sup\{|u_i(x)| : x \in H, ||x|| \le 1\} = |u_i(x)|$ 

That is  $||T_i|| = |u_i(x)|$  for any unit vector  $x \in H$  with ||x|| = 1, for i = 1, 2.

Likewise, the norm of  $S_i$  is  $||S_i|| = |v_i(x)|$  for any unit vector  $x \in H$  with ||x|| = 1, for i = 1, 2. Therefore, for all  $x \in H$  with ||x|| = 1, we have

$$E_{2}(W)x = (T_{1}WS_{1} + T_{2}WS_{2})x = (T_{1}WS_{1})x + (T_{2}WS_{2})x$$
  
$$= (u_{1} \otimes y)W(v_{1} \otimes z)x + (u_{2} \otimes y)W(v_{2} \otimes z)x$$
  
$$= (u_{1} \otimes y)Wv_{1}(x)z + (u_{2} \otimes y)Wv_{2}(x)z$$
  
$$= v_{1}(x)(u_{1} \otimes y)W(z) + v_{2}(x)(u_{2} \otimes y)W(z)$$
  
$$= v_{1}(x)u_{1}(W(z))y + v_{2}(x)u_{2}(W(z))y.$$

Since  $||E_2|B(H)|| = \sup\{||E_2(W)||: W \in B(H), ||W|| = 1\}$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} \left\| E_{2} \left| \mathcal{B}(H) \right\|^{2} &\geq \left\| v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\|^{2} \\ &= \left\langle v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y, v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y, v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y, v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y, v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\rangle + \left\langle v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y, v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y, v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\rangle + \left\langle v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y, v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\|^{2} + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right) \\ &= \left\| v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \left\langle y, y \right\rangle + \left\| v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) y \right\|^{2} \\ &= \left| v_{1}\left(x\right) \right|^{2} \left| u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \right|^{2} \left\| y \right\|^{2} + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \\ &+ v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \right\|^{2} + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \\ &+ v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \right\|^{2} + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \\ &+ v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \right\|^{2} + v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) \\ &+ v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) + \left\{ \left| v_{2}\left(x\right) \right| \left| u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right)\right\| ^{2} \\ &= \left\{ \left| v_{1}\left(x\right) \right| \left| u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right)\right\| ^{2} + 2v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) + \left\{ \left| v_{2}\left(x\right) \right| \left| u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right)\right\| ^{2} \\ &= \left\{ \left| v_{1}\left(x\right) \right| \left| u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right)\right\| ^{2} + 2v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) + \left\{ \left| v_{2}\left(x\right) \right| \left| u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right)\right\| ^{2} \\ &= \left\{ \left| v_{1}\left(x\right) \right| \left| u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right)\right\| ^{2} + 2v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) + \left\{ \left| v_{2}\left(x\right) \right| \left| u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right)\right\| ^{2} \\ &= \left\{ \left| v_{1}\left(x\right) \right| \left| u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right)\right\| ^{2} + 2v_{1}\left(x\right) u_{1}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}\left(W\left(z\right)\right) + \left\{ \left| v_{2}\left(x\right) u_{2}$$

Now, since  $v_1(x), u_1(W(z)), v_2(x)$  and  $u_2(W(z))$  are all positive real numbers, we have  $v_1(x) = |v_1(x)| = |S_1|, \quad u_1(W(z)) = |u_1(W(z))| = |T_1|, \quad v_2(x) = |v_2(x)| = |S_2|, \text{ and}$  $u_2(W(z)) = |u_2(W(z))| = |T_2|.$ 

Thus  $||E_2|B(H)||^2 \ge \{|T_1||S_1|\}^2 + 2|T_1||S_1||T_2||S_2| + \{|T_2||S_2|\}^2 = \{|T_1||S_1| + |T_2||S_2|\}^2$  and hence we have  $||E_2|B(H)|| \ge \{|T_1||S_1| + |T_2||S_2|\} = \sum_{i=1}^2 ||T_i|| ||S_i||.$ That is

That is,

$$|E_2|B(H)|| \ge \sum_{i=1}^2 ||T_i|| ||S_i|| .$$
(6)

Now, (5) and (6) implies that:

$$||E_2|B(H)|| = \sum_{i=1}^2 ||T_i||| ||S_i||,$$

and this completes the proof.

#### References

- [1] Timoney, R.M. (2007) Some Formulae for Norms of Elementary Operators. *The Journal of Operator Theory*, **57**, 121-145.
- [2] Nyamwala, F.O. and Agure, J.O. (2008) Norms of Elementary Operators in Banach Algebras. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **2**, 411-424.
- [3] Mathew, M. (1990) More Properties of the Product of Two Derivations of a *C\**-Algebras. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **42**, 115-120. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700028203</u>
- [4] Cabrera, M. and Rodriguez, A. (1994) Non-Degenerate Ultraprime Jordan-Banach Algebras: A Zelmano-Rian Treatment. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, **69**, 576-604.
- [5] Stacho, L.L. and Zalar, B. (1996) On the Norm of Jordan Elementary Operators in Standard Operator Algebras. *Publicationes Mathematicae-Debrecen*, **49**, 127-134.
- [6] Baraa, M. and Boumazgour, M. (2001) A Lower Bound of the Norm of the Operator  $x \rightarrow axb + bxa$ . *Extracta Mathematicae*, **16**, 223-227.
- [7] Okelo, N. and Agure, J.O. (2011) A Two-Sided Multiplication Operator Norm. *General Mathematics Notes*, 2, 18-23.

Scientific Research Publishing (SCIRP) is one of the largest Open Access journal publishers. It is currently publishing more than 200 open access, online, peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. SCIRP serves the worldwide academic communities and contributes to the progress and application of science with its publication.

Other selected journals from SCIRP are listed as below. Submit your manuscript to us via either <a href="mailto:submit@scirp.org">submit@scirp.org</a> or <a href="mailto:Online Submission Portal">Online Submission Portal</a>.

