$See \ discussions, stats, and \ author \ profiles \ for \ this \ publication \ at: \ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332911928$

Assessment of water quality of the Nyando River (Muhoroni-Kenya) using the water quality index (WQI) method

Article in International Research Journal of Environmental Sciences · May 2019



Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Application of natural zeolite from kenya in wastewater treatment View project

pharmaceutical remediation from aqueous environment View project

Project



International Research Journal of Environmental Sciences_ Vol. 8(2), 27-33, April (2019)

Assessment of water quality of the Nyando River (Muhoroni-Kenya) using the water quality index (WQI) method

George O. Achieng¹, Victor O. Shikuku^{2*}, Dickson M. Andala³, George M. Okowa⁴ and James J. Owuor⁵

¹Maseno University, P.O. Box 333 – 40105, Maseno, Kenya
 ²Kaimosi Friends University College, P.O. Box 385-50309, Kaimosi, Kenya
 ³Multimedia University of Kenya, P.O. Box 15653-00503, Nairobi, Kenya
 ⁴CSI International Ltd, P.O. Box 47846-00100, Nairobi, Kenya
 ⁵The Technical University of Kenya, P.O. Box 52428-00200, Nairobi, Kenya
 vshikuku@kafuco.ac.ke

Available online at: www.isca.in, www.isca.me Received 2nd November 2018, revised 14th January 2019, accepted 3rd March 2019

Abstract

The quality of a river water is described by the levels of physico-chemical and microbiological parameters. The aim of the present work was to determine the water quality of NyandoRiver water from four sampling stations (Muhoroni, Homalime, Kipchui and Wasao) in Muhoroni sub-catchment area and calculate the water quality index (WQI). Physicochemical and microbiological parameters were determined in water samples following standard protocols. The findings were compared with the allowable limits as stipulated by the World Health Organization (WHO) and Kenya Standard (KS) for drinking water. The calculated Water Quality Indices (WQI) ranged from 51.88 to 101.13 lying between "poor water quality" and "unsuitable for drinking". It is determined that TVC, Fe and Mn represent the most effective water quality parameters for calculation of WQI in Nyando River.

Keywords: Water quality index, Nyando River, drinking water.

Introduction

Water is an important chemical compound necessary for life¹.Therefore, drinking water quality is as important for public health as raw water is to aquatic life. The physico-chemical and biological parameters all define the quality of water and determine the suitability for the intended uses such as drinking, agriculture, recreation among others². As such, deliberate and systematic water quality protection programs are crucial for both humans and aquatic life. The quality of water is determined by both natural processes and human induced activities. For instance, effects associated with climate change, land use and location on water quality parameters have been reported in literature³. Subramani and co-workers⁴ demonstrated the role of lithological units on water quality. One of the criteria for classification of quality of a water resource is the use of water quality index (WQI) first formulated by Horton⁵ and Brown et al.⁶. Water quality index (WQI) is a single number descriptor of the quality of the water established by summarizing the influence of several parameters of water analysis results into simple but comprehensive terms for both environmental assessment and management purposes^{7,8}. It is a mathematical tool for classification of water quality and an index for suitability of surface waters for various uses. Water quality indices appear in varying forms and have been used extensively to express water quality especially of surface waters^{1,9-11}. Muhoroni is a town situated in Kisumu County in the western part of Kenya and is traversed by Nyando River that eventually empties water into Lake Victoria. Noteworthy, a sizeable portion of the Muhoroni population has no access to municipally treated water for domestic utilization. Nyando River is the principal source of water for domestic use for the surrounding community. The underlying problem is that the quality of the river water is not empirically ascertained. The aim of this work was to assess the quality status of water from Nyando River in Muhoroni sub-catchment area based on physical, chemical and microbiological parameters relative to the World Health Organization (WHO) and Kenya standards (KS) for drinking water, and eventually establish the water quality index (WQI) and evaluate the impact of each parameter on the water quality index (WQI).

Materials and methods

Sampling stations and design: The study was conducted in Muhoroni area (altitude of 1475 m above the sea level) on geographical coordinates, 0°90'0" South and 35°12'0" East, explicitly in the regions bounded by Nyando River (Muhoroni, Homalime, Kipchui and Wasao). The town is located 50 kilometres east of Kisumu, the county capital and has an urban and a total population of about 13,664 and 31,148 (7 km radius), as at 2009, respectively (2009 census). The above enumerated sampling stations were selected for they represent the major raw water drawing points for domestic use by the most population in Muhoroni area and are potential points of punctual and diffuse pollutant loading into the river as noted from previous

surveillance. The sampling points also included a downstream end of the sub-catchment area. A completely randomized design (CRD) was used for this study. Since the sampling points were within the same geographical area, the effects of lithology and river typology on water chemistry were assumed to be minimal relative to anthropogenic activities.

Water sampling and preparation: Sampling was done in triplicate samples 5 cm from the surface and at the centre of the main flow from the four sampling stations using 500mL sterilized glass bottles. In order to certify the sampling tools and containers were free from contamination, bottles meant for microbiological samples were cleaned and disinfected with 90% ethanol while for heavy metals, the sampling vessels were cleaned using 10% v/v nitric acid and rinsed severally with deionized water. As for odor, pH, EC (electrical conductivity), SM (suspended matter), TDS (total dissolved solids), turbidity, fluoride, sulphate, chloride and nitrate, the glass containers were cleaned then rinsed in de-ionized water. All the bottles were accurately coded then kept in cooler boxes equipped with ice packs and transported to CSI International Ltd. Laboratories in Nairobi, Kenya for preparation and analysis.

Instrumental analysis: The water samples were analyzed for microbiological parameters, nitrates, sulphates, chloride and metal contents according to the protocols described by the American Public Health Association¹². Precisely eight metals (Ca, Na, Zn, Mg, Pb, Cu and Mn) were detected and quantified using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (model AA320N, China). pH, EC, TDS, turbidity and fluoride levels were determined using their appropriate meters while odour (obnoxious smell) and SM (suspended matter) were assessed by organoleptic threshold odor number (TON) and gravimetric techniques, respectively. The microbiological parameters were Total Viable Counts (TVC), E. Coli (*Escherichia Coli*), Total coliforms and Salmonella.

Calculating Water Quality Index (WQI): WQI is described as a rating indicating the aggregate impact of various water quality parameters¹³. Each parameter was first ascribed a weight value (w) on a scale ranging from 1 to 5 depending on their perceived impacts on health. The lowest weight (1) represented parameters with the feeblest influence on water quality while the highest weight (5) was designated to parameters possessing adverse immunological toxicity and whose occurrence above the allowable limits prohibit the use of the water for drinking purposes¹⁴.

NO₃, Fe, Cu, Mn and TVC were ascribed the highest weight (5) associated with their reported significance in water quality assessment; a low weight of 2 was designated Mg and Na due to their relatively insignificant effect in assessing water quality. The relative weight (W) was computed following the relation:

$$W_i = \frac{W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i} \tag{1}$$

Where W_i is relative weight, w_i is weight of the i^{th} parameter while *n* is the number of parameters. Next, a quality rating (Q_i) for the i^{th} parameter was obtained by the observed value in the samples divided by its set limit value stipulated by the WHO¹⁵ expressed as percent:

$$Q_i = \frac{C_i}{S_i} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

Where Q_i is the quality rating, C_i is the value of the i^{th} parameter in the sampled water, and S_i is the drinking water standard limit for the i^{th} parameter. To obtain the WQI, sub-index value of i^{th} parameter (*SI_i*) was calculated using the equations below:

$$SI_i = W_i \times Q_i \tag{3}$$

$$WQI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} SI_i \tag{4}$$

The calculated WQI values were categorized into five classes described in Table-1.

WQI Range	Description	
0 – 25	Excellent water quality	
26 - 50	Good water quality	
51 - 75	Poor water quality	
76 – 100	Very poor water quality	
>100	Unsuitable for drinking	

Furthermore, in order to identify the water quality parameter possessing the strongest impact on WQI values, the effective weight (Ew) for every analyzed parameter was computed by dividing its sub-index value (SI_i) by net WQI value as previously defined and the result multiplied by 100 according to the equation below:

$$Ew_i = \frac{SI_i}{WQI} \times 100 \tag{5}$$

The relative weights compared with effective weights were an indicator of the influence of each parameter used in determination of WQI.

Results and discussion

The statistical results of this study based on various physicochemical and microbiological parameters of the water samples from Muhoroni, Homalime, Kipchui and Wasao compared to the WHO and Kenya Standards for drinking water are summarized in Table-2.

	Domomotor	Muhoroni	Sampling Points			
	Parameter		Homalime	Kipchui	Wasao	Std. Limits
	Odour	ND	ND	Detected	ND	ND
	pH	7.07±0.15	8.02±0.15	7.05±0.13	7.15±0.07	6.5-8.5 U
	EC	604.00±2.65	272.00±4.00	394.00±2.08	252.00±1.73	*
	Turbidity	12.00±2.00	17.00±1.00	24.00±1.00	26.70±6.00	5 NTU
	TDS	302.00±0.58	136.00±2.00	197.00±1.15	126.00±2.00	1000 mg/L
	SM	6625.67±24.38	7568.33±27.02	12171.00±25.51	12421.67±15.63	NIL
Chemical Parameters	Са	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	200 mg/L
	Na	64.51±0.60	159.50±0.89	70.64±1.06	69.11±0.96	200 mg/L
	Fe	0.31±0.01	0.18±0.08	0.68±0.04	0.36±0.06	0.3 mg/L
	Zn	0.07±0.03	0.04±0.01	0.09±0.04	0.08±0.02	5 mg/L
	Mg	0.25±0.31	0.02±0.01	0.06±0.02	0.05±0.02	100 mg/L
	Pb	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.01 mg/L
	Cu	0.14±0.08	0.22±0.02	0.02±0.01	0.01±0.004	1.0 mg/L
	Mn	0.07±0.03	0.30±0.04	0.08±0.04	0.06±0.02	0.5 mg/L
	F	1.34±0.10	0.02±0.01	1.34±0.12	1.45±0.08	1.5 mg/L
	SO4 ²⁻	11.97±2.05	19.70±0.70	29.63±1.26	90.10±1.85	400 mg/L
	Cl	5.15±0.93	15.30±0.83	9.32±0.94	9.87±2.59	250 mg/L
	NO ₃ ⁻	26.13±0.15	21.96±0.14	42.30±0.40	15.91±0.24	50 mg/L
	TVC	297±5.77	183±28.87	600±20.00	141±1.15	100 cfu/mL

77±2.89

 20 ± 5.00

NIL

* No standard limit quoted for this parameter

Microbiological Parameters

Odour, Turbidity and Suspended matter: Odour (obnoxious smell) is caused by algae, bacteria, anthropogenic activities namely, wastewater effluents and chemical spills¹⁶ which ultimately contaminate both ground and surface waters. The results indicate that this quality parameter was detected in

Total Coliforms

E. Coli

Salmonella

 12 ± 2.52

NIL

NIL

Kipchui while the rest of the sampling points were odour free, implying that Muhoroni, Homalime and Wasao were less polluted with the parameters that are responsible for odour, ultimately justifying the order of the levels of TVC in the samples as Kipchui>Muhoroni>Homalime>Wasao. Turbidity

13±2.89

NIL

NIL

 177 ± 25.17

37±2.89

Detected

NIL

NIL

NIL

levels exceeded the stipulated allowable limits in all the sampling sites. Suspended matter is a water quality parameter whose standard limit is recommended to be nil in a typical drinking water. The study findings established very high levels of suspended matter in all the four raw water samples. This observation is expected since sampling was conducted during short rains. High suspended matter has been associated with rainy seasons¹⁷. Very high turbidity values observed in the samples from all the four sites correlated well with the levels of suspended matter, that is, both parameters increased correspondingly from Muhoroni through Homalime and Kipchui to Wasao. APHA¹⁸ also linked turbidity in water to suspended and colloidal matter. Such elevated values prohibit the usage of the water for drinking purpose. Tinker et al.¹⁹ showed that gastrointestinal illnesses for a faecally polluted water source are attributed to turbidity. Pre-treatment of Nyando River water is therefore required for turbidity reduction before consumption to limit the associated health risks. The odour (obnoxious smell) detected in Kipchui validates the elevated levels of Total Viable Counts detected in the sample, since high correlation between the two parameters has also been reported²⁰

pH, EC, TDS, Metals and Anions: pH is an essential parameter in a water resource that often influences the dynamics of the other water quality parameters especially when it changes from acidic to basic conditions or vice versa. pH in all the sampling stations fell within the allowable limits. The pH levels remained relatively constant with an exception of Homalime in which a basic pH was noted. EC and TDS levels were in the order Muhoroni>Kipchui>Homalime>Wasao. The TDS were within the allowable limits provided for by the Kenya Standard (KS) and the World Health Organization¹⁵ standards for drinking water. Compared against Egereonu²¹, the results suggest that the water samples are free from laxative or constipation effects, a condition caused by TDS levels beyond 500 mg/L. The examined anions (F, Cl, NO₃ and SO₄) afforded values that were also within the standard limits. The order of the anions was NO₃>SO₄>Cl >F. Consumption of water containing fluoride levels exceeding 1.5 mg/L is a potential cause for teeth²². various disorders such as mottling of methaemoglobinaemia in infants below the age of 6 months²³ for excess NO_3 , and an objectionable taste in water is caused by high concentrations (> 400 mg/L) of SO_4^{2-24} . As for the metals, Ca and Pb were below the instrumental detection limit. Fe was above the set 0.3 mg/L limit except at Homalime station. The variation of the metal concentrations across the sites is due to anthropogenic activities and partly due to dynamics in waterrock interactions.

Microbiological parameters: Microbiological parameters are but not limited to bacteria and Protozoa. These are microscopic organisms that can survive in many different environments. Several species of bacteria and protozoa have the potential of causing infection, disease, or illness in other living things^{25,26}. Illnesses caused by bacteria and protozoa are often spread through drinking water, therefore testing drinking water for the presence of live bacteria and protozoa is indispensable to confirm it is fully disinfected 26 .

Total Viable Counts (TVC) levels in all the sampling points surpassed the KS and WHO limits. This clearly testifies of poor quality of these raw water samples since TVC is the best rapid indicator of the microbiological contamination level of any water source²⁷. Total coliforms were detected in all the sampling points, *E. coli* in Homalime and Kipchui samples while salmollema was in Kipchui samples. It is worth noting that the levels of total coliforms, *E. coli* and salmonella chiefly depend on total viable counts (TVC) quantification as reported by Dulo²⁸ and Tenge *et al.*²⁹. The reported link is corroborated by the findings in the present study.

Water Quality Index (WQI): In the present work, usability of Nyando River water for drinking purposes was investigated following the WQI method. Fourteen water quality parameters were used for determination of WQI using the aforementioned World Health Organization limits.

To compute WQI values for each of the sampling stations, the weight values were assigned to individual water quality parameters as aforementioned depending on their perceived significance in the quality of water intended for drinking (Table-3). Nitrates, Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn were ascribed a weight of 5 each. The selected metals assigned a weight value of 5 have adverse effects on human health when they exceed the allowable limits³⁰. In terms of nutrients, high NO₃levels has been associated with methemoglobinemia, gastric carcinomas, disturbance of central nervous system and diabetes among others¹⁴. Turbidity, SO₄, TDS and TVC were allocated weight 4; pH, Flouride and Chlorine were ascribed a weight of 3. Mg and Na were ascribed the lowest weight of 2 for they bear least significance in water quality. The weights assigned to the parameters under study are consistent with those reported in literature³¹. The relative weights (W_i) were also calculated following Equation (1) and the corresponding values are listed in Table-3. Water quality index values were computed following Equations. (2), (3) and (4) and the water quality for all the sampling stations classified (Table-4).

The calculated WQI values ranged between 51.88 and 101.131 depicting the fact that the water quality of Nyando river lies between "poor water quality" and "unsuitable for drinking" evidently due to effluents and run offs from the agricultural activities within the river banks. The water quality at Homalime, Wasao and Muhoroni was classified as poor quality while that at Kipchui was classified as unsuitable for drinking.

The effective weight of individual water quality parameter was computed following Equation (5) and the values are presented in Table-5 with their corresponding relative weights. As it can be seen, TVC, Mn and Fe had the highest average effective weights with 30.11, 17.45 and 16.55%, respectively. This implies that these 3 parameters represent the most critical

parameters in determination of WQI of Nyando River. Noteworthy, the relative weight of TVC (8.16%) is lower than that of the trace metals yet it presented the highest effective weight. than the Mg parameter (4.08%). TDS, Na, Mg, Cl, F had considerably low relative weight also consistently revealed low effective weight. Here, Mg and Cl had the least relative weight of 0.01 and 0.33 %, respectively. It is intriguing to note that though the trace metals (Zn and Cu) and the nutrients (SO₄ and NO₃) have been assigned high relative weights due to their associated health effects, they had low effective weights attributed to the low concentrations detected.

The results suggest that the water samples are polluted with a major contribution from microbiological parameters (TVC) whose main means of entry into natural waters are from air, soil, sewage, organic wastes, dead plants and animals³²⁻³⁴. Tinker *et al.*¹⁹ confirmed that gastrointestinal illness was more pronounced in unfiltered raw River water as opposed to a filtered one, a disclosure emphasizing the necessity of treatment of such microbiologically contaminated waters.

Table-3: Relative weight of selected parameters

Parameters	Standard limits	weight w _i	relative weight W _i
pH	9.5	3	0.0556
Turbidity 25		4	0.0741
TDS 1500		4	0.0741
Na 200		2	0.0370
Fe	0.3	5	0.0926
Zn	5	5	0.0926
Mg	100	2	0.0370
Cu	1.0	5	0.0926
Mn	0.1	5	0.0926
F	1.5	3	0.0556
SO ₄ ²⁻	400	4	0.0741
Cl	250	3	0.0556
NO ₃ ⁻	45	5	0.0926
TVC	100	4	0.0741
		Σw _i =54.0	$\Sigma W_i=1.0$

	ISSN 2319–1414
Int. Res.	J. Environmental Sci.

 Table-4: Water Quality Index (WQI) scale.

	()	(-) =	
WQI Range	Description	W _i Q _i	Water Sample
0 – 25	Excellent water quality		
26 - 50	Good water quality		
51 – 75	Poor water quality	67.6506 51.8800	Homalime Wasao
76 – 100	Very poor water	00.5362	Munoroni
>100	Unsuitable for drinking	101.1310	Kipchui

Table-5: Relative weight of selected parameters.

Parameters	weight w _i	Relative weight W _i	Mean Effective weight %
pН	3	5.56	6.47
Turbidity	4	7.41	8.89
TDS	4	7.41	1.40
Na	2	3.70	2.52
Fe	5	9.26	16.55
Zn	5	9.26	0.19
Mg	2	3.70	0.01
Cu	5	9.26	1.38
Mn	5	9.26	17.45
F	3	5.56	5.89
SO4 ²⁻	4	7.41	1.17
Cl	3	5.56	0.33
NO ₃	5	9.26	7.62
TVC	4	7.41	30.11
	$\Sigma w_i=54.0$		

Conclusion

The study results demonstrated that a good number of the analyzed water quality parameters met the standard limit requirements in various sampling points. However, some of the parameters attained values that surpassed the permissible levels as stipulated in the world health organization (WHO) and Kenya Standards (KS), among them were Fe in Muhoroni, Kipchui and Wasao; Turbidity, Suspended Matter, Total Viable Counts and Total Coliforms in all the sampling points; E. Coli in Homalime and Kipchui; and odour in Kipchui. Water Quality Index (WOI) determination gave ratings of 67.65, 60.53, 51.88 and 101.13 for Homalime, Muhoroni, Wasao and Kipchui, respectively, which were classified as "poor water quality" and "unsuitable for drinking". This observation was ascribed to microbiological parameter TVC, Fe and Zn as indicated by their high effective weights relative to other water quality parameters. The deteriorated water quality is effectively attributed to anthropogenic activities as opposed to lithological sources. Necessary Nyando river water protection steps are critically needed before domestic consumption to safeguard the users from contracting water pollution-related illnesses.

Acknowledgement

Authors wish to sincerely acknowledge Chemical Science Investigations (CSI) International Ltd for providing working space and equipment for the entire laboratory analytical process.

References

- 1. Gorde S.P. and Jadhav M.V. (2013). Assessment of Water Quality Parameters: A Review. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 3(6), 2029-2035.
- 2. Sargaonkar A. and Deshpande V. (2003). Development of an Overall Index of Pollution for Surface Water Based on a General Classification Scheme in Indian Context. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 89(1), 43-67.
- **3.** Tsegaye T., Sheppard D., Islam K.R., Johnson A., Tadesse W., Atalay A. and Marzen L. (2006). Development of chemical index as a measure of in stream water quality in response o land use and land cover changes. *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 174, 161-179.
- Subramani T., Elango L., Srinivasalu S. and Marikio T. (2005). Geological setting and groundwater chemistry in Chithar River basin, Tamil Nadu, India. *J. Indian. Miner.*, 39, 108-119.
- 5. Horton R.K. (1965). An index number system for rating water quality. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed., 37(3), 300-306.
- 6. Brown R.M., McClelland N.I., Deininger R.A. and Tozer R.G. (1970). A water quality index-do we dare? Water Sew. *Works*, 117, 339-343.
- 7. Abdul H.M.J.A., Bahram K.M. and Abass J.K. (2010). Evaluating Raw and Treated Water Quality of Tigris River within Baghdad by Index Analysis. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 2, 629-635.
- 8. Taha H.A. and Zakyaria N.M.S. (2016). Assessments of Water Quality index (WQI) For Tigris River in Mosul City/

North of Iraq. International Journal of Latest Research in Engineering and Technology, 2(8), 82-92.

- **9.** Ahaneku I.E. and Animashaun I.M. (2013). Determination of water quality index of river Asa, Ilorin, Nigeria. *Advanced Applied Scientific Research*, 4(6), 277-284.
- **10.** Uddin M.N., Alam M.S., Mobin M.N. and Miah M.A. (2014). An Assessment of the River Water Quality Parameter: A case of Jamuna River. *Journal of Environmental Science and Natural Resources*, 7(1), 249-256.
- **11.** Gor A. and Shah A. (2014). Water Quality Index of Mahi River, Vadodara, Gujarat. *International Journal of Engineering Development and Research*, 2(3), 3214-3219.
- APHA (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water, Sewage, and Wastewater. 20th Ed., Washington D.C., American Public Health Association.
- **13.** Sahu P. and Sikdar P.K. (2008). Hydrochemical framework of the aquifer in and around East Kolkata wetlands, West Bengal, India. *Environ. Geol.*, 55, 823-835.
- 14. Varol S. and Davraz A. (2015). Evaluation of the groundwater quality with WQI (Water Quality Index) and multivariate analysis: a case study of the Tefenni plain (Burdur/Turkey). *Environ. Earth Sci.*, 73(4), 1725-1744.
- **15.** WHO (2008). Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 16. Cho I., Somerfield C. and Hilal N. (2013). Odour Problems in Potable Water and its Treatment Options: a Review. *International Review of Biophysical Chemistry (IREBIC)*, 4(6), 203-226.
- **17.** Göransson G., Larson M. and Bendz D. (2013). Variation in turbidity with precipitation and flow in a regulated River system river Göta Älv, SW Sweden. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17, 2529-2542.
- 18. APHA (2005). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 21st Edition, American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/ Water Environment Federation, Washington DC.
- Tinker S.C., Moe C.L., Klein M., Flanders W.D., Uber J., Amirtharajah A., Singer P. and Tolbert P.E. (2010). Drinking water turbidity and emergency department visits for gastrointestinal illness in Atlanta, 1993-2004. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, 20(1), 19-28. http://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2008.68.
- **20.** Sugiura N. and Nakano K. (2000). Causative microorganisms for musty odour occurrence the eutrophic lake Kasumigaura. *Hydrobiologia*, 434, 145-150.
- **21.** Amadi A.N., Olasehide P.I., Okosun E.A. and Yisa J. (2010). Assessment of the water quality index of Otamiri and Oramiriukwa Rivers. *Physics International*, 2, 116-123.

- **22.** Ayoob S. and Gupta K. (2006). Fluoride in drinking water: a review on the status and stress effects. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 36(6), 433-487.
- **23.** Egereonu U.U. and Nwachukwu U.L. (2005). Evaluation of the surface and groundwater resources of Efuru River Catchment, Mbano, South Eastern, Nigeria. J. Assoc. Adv. Model. Simulat. Tech. Enterpr., 66, 53-71.
- 24. Chinhanga J.R. (2010). Impact of industrial effluent from an iron and steel company on the physico- chemical quality of Kikwe River in Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology*, 2(7), 129-140.
- Lee S.H., Levy D.A., Craun G.F., Beach M.J. and Calderon R.L. (2002). Surveillance for waterborne-disease outbreaks--United States, 1999-2000. Morbidity and mortality weekly report. Surveillance summaries (Washington, DC: 2002), 51(8), 1-47.
- **26.** Wade T.J., Sams E., Brenner K.P., Haugland R., Chern E., Beach M., Wymer L., Rankin C.C., Love D., Li Q., Noble R. and Dufour A.P. (2010). Rapidly measured indicators or recreational water quality and swimming-associated illness at marinebeaches: A prospective cohort study. *Environ. Health*, 9, 66.
- **27.** Fulford M.R., Walker J.T., Martin M.V. and Marsh P.D. (2004). Total viable counts, ATP, and endotoxin levels as potential markers of microbial contamination of dental unit water systems. *British Dental Journal*, 196, 157-159.

- **28.** Dulo S.O. (2008). Determination of some physico-chemical parameters of the Nairobi River, Kenya. *Journal of Applied Science & Environmental Management*, 12(1), 57-62.
- **29.** Tenge J.W., Lusweti J.K. and Ng'wena G.A.M. (2015). Assessment of Drinking Water Quality from the Malakisi River in Western Kenya. *International Journal of Innovative Research & Development*, 4(4), 154-161.
- **30.** Bibi H., Ahmed F. and Ishiga H. (2007). Assessment of metal concentrations in lake sediments of southwest Japan based on sediment quality guidelines. *Environ. Geol.*, 52, 625-639.
- **31.** Iticescu C., Georgescu L.P. and Topa C.M. (2013). Assessing the Danube water quality index in the city of Galati, Romania. *Carpathian Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences*, 8(4), 155-164.
- **32.** Yan D., Werners S.E., Ludwig F. and Huang H.Q. (2015). Hydrological response to climate change: The Pearl River, China under different RCP scenarios. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 4, 228-245.
- **33.** Taye M.T., Willems P. and Block P. (2015). Implications of climate change on hydrological extremes in the Blue Nile basin: a review. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 4, 280-293.
- **34.** Devkota L.P. and Gyawali D.R. (2015). Impacts of climate change on hydrological regime and water resources management of the Koshi River Basin, Nepal. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 4, 502-515.